Case 3:04-cv-03339-CRB Document 397 Filed 06/03/09 Page 1 of 2 1 Caroline N. Mitchell (State Bar No. 143124) cnmitchell@jonesday.com David C. Kiernan (State Bar No. 215335) 2 dkiernan@jonesday.com 3 Michael T. Scott (State Bar No. 255282) michaelscott@jonesday.com JONES DAY 4 555 California Street, 26th Floor 5 San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 626-3939 Telephone: 6 Facsimile: (415) 875-5700 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff PATRICK M. MCCOLLUM, et al. 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 Patrick M. McCollum, et al. Case No. 04-03339 CRB 12 Plaintiffs, **[PROPOSED] ORDER DISMISSING** 13 INMATE PLAINTIFFS' THIRD, FOURTH, AND FIFTH CLAIMS WITH PREJUDICE v. 14 The State of California, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 WHEREAS this Court's December 13, 2007 and January 8, 2008 Orders ("2007 and 2008 18 Orders") ruled that Inmate Plaintiffs Kenneth Capogreco, Scott Collins, Donald Dacus, Kevin 19 Iloff, Gregory Mourland, and David Spooner failed to timely exhaust a challenge to California's 20 provision of paid chaplains to inmates of some faiths and not others; 21 WHEREAS the Court's 2007 and 2008 Orders dismissed Plaintiffs Third (Federal Free 22 Exercise Clause of the First Amendment), Fourth (RLUIPA), and Fifth (State Free Exercise 23 Clause) Claims to the extent that they challenge the state's Five Faith's Policy, including the 24 provision of paid chaplains to some faiths and not others; 25 WHEREAS the Court's 2007 and 2008 Orders dismissed Plaintiffs' First (Establishment 26

Clause) and Second (Equal Protection Clause) Claims in their entirety;

27

28

Case 3:04-cv-03339-CRB Document 397 Filed 06/03/09 Page 2 of 2

1	WHEREAS the Court's 2007 and 2008 Orders rejected Plaintiffs' "relation back" and
2	"continuing violation" arguments and dismissed as time-barred the claims related to certain
3	grievances filed by Plaintiffs Capogreco, Collins, and Mourland brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §
4	1983;
5	WHEREAS this order does not relate to Plaintiffs' claims dismissed by the Court's 2007
6	and 2008 Orders, as described above, which Plaintiffs do not intend to and do not agree to
7	dismiss, but intend to pursue on appeal;
8	WHEREAS Plaintiffs do intend to dismiss those aspects of claims Three, Four, and Five
9	of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint that were not dismissed and that remain pending before
10	this Court;
11	Having considered Plaintiffs' request to voluntarily dismiss Plaintiffs' remaining claims
12	Three, Four, and Five of Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint in the above captioned action that
13	remain pending before this Court, having determined that such dismissal will not prejudice
14	defendants, and good cause appearing:
15	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiffs' claims remaining in this Court are dismissed
16	from this action with prejudice pursuant to FRCP 41(a)(2).
17	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all matters relating to bills of cost in this action are stayed
18	pending appeal.
19	Dated: June 2 , 2008 By: By:
20	
21	The Honorable Charles R. Brown Ampled States Division of the States
22	IT IS SO ORD
23	Z dos R. Breyer
24	Judge Charles R. Breyer
25	
26	PRINTERICT OF CE
27	
28	